



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/225,189	01/05/1999	RICHARD J. QIAN	SLA0095 2766		
20575 7:	590 12/12/2003		EXAMINER		
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM PC 1030 SW MORRISON STREET			HANNETT, JAMES M		
PORTLAND,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			2612		
	•		DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003	12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/225,189	QIAN, RICHARD J.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	James M Hannett	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	,
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper reply to ch places the applicatio	o a n in
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>[PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See M 136(a) and the appropriate exte	IPEP
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or (2) as	s set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	,
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	,
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			1
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed an	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which were n	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			. ,
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			ì
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproxed by	rthe Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	. 11 1		
10. Other:	1/1/1/20	BER	
	WENDY A. GAN SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CEN	TER 2600	•

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/225,189

Application No.





Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new limitation in Claim 1 that the probability function is calculated for each pixel, requires further search and consideration.